

# Cut-elimination for $\mathbf{SLLM}_m$

Recall the Lambek Calculus with lax monoidal soft subexponentials,  $\mathbf{SLLM}_m$  below:

$$A ::= A \in At \mid A \cdot A \mid A/A \mid A \setminus A \mid !A \mid \nabla A$$

$\frac{}{A \rightarrow A}$	$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow A} \textit{cut}$
$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma_1, B, \Sigma_2 \rightarrow C}{\Sigma_1, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Sigma_2 \rightarrow C} \setminus_L$	$\frac{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R$
$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma_1, B, \Sigma_2 \rightarrow C}{\Sigma_1, B/A, \Gamma, \Sigma_2 \rightarrow C} /_L$	$\frac{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma \rightarrow B/A} /_R$
$\frac{\Gamma_1, A, B, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow C}{\Gamma_1, A \cdot B, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow C} \cdot_L$	$\frac{\Gamma_1 \rightarrow A \quad \Gamma_2 \rightarrow B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R$
$\frac{\Gamma_1, \overbrace{A, A, \dots, A}^{n \text{ times}}, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow B}{\Gamma_1, !A, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow B} \tilde{!}_L$	$\frac{A \rightarrow B}{!A \rightarrow !B} \tilde{!}_R$
$\frac{\Gamma_1, A, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow B}{\Gamma_1, \nabla A, \Gamma_2 \rightarrow B} \nabla_L$	$\frac{A \rightarrow B}{\nabla A \rightarrow \nabla B} \tilde{\nabla}_R$
$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \nabla A, \Gamma_3 \rightarrow B}{\Gamma_1, \nabla A, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3 \rightarrow B} \textit{perm}$	$\frac{\Gamma_1, \nabla A, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3 \rightarrow B}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \nabla A, \Gamma_3 \rightarrow B} \textit{perm}'$

Table 1: Sequent calculus presentation of  $\mathbf{SLLM}$ , where  $1 \leq n \leq k_0$ .

**Theorem 1.** (*cut*) can be eliminated from  $\mathbf{SLLM}_m$ . That is, any proof in  $\mathbf{SLLM}_m$  containing a cut can be converted into an equivalent proof without cuts.

*Proof.* We perform a standard induction on the depths of the proofs of the sequents in the cut-rule. Throughout this proof, we will say that the left hand sequent  $\Gamma \rightarrow A$  has proof  $\pi$ , and the right-hand sequent  $\Sigma, A, \Delta \rightarrow B$  has proof  $\tau$ . The final rule of a proof  $\omega$  will be called  $\text{fin}(\omega)$ .

## Base case

If  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  are simply instances of the axiom, that is, (??) becomes:

$$\frac{\overline{A \rightarrow A} \quad \overline{A \rightarrow A}}{A \rightarrow A} \text{ cut} \quad (1)$$

we can simply transform (1) to  $\overline{A \rightarrow A}$ . This completes the base-case of the induction.

## Principal cuts

The following cases are those where the cut formula is principal in both  $\text{fin}(\pi)$  and  $\text{fin}(\tau)$ .

1.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \setminus_R$  and  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . That is, this instance of cut is the following:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\pi'}}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\overline{\tau'}}{\Delta \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\overline{\tau''}}{\Sigma, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \quad \frac{\overline{\tau'}}{\Sigma, \Delta, A \setminus B, \Theta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad (2)$$

We transform this proof to the following one containing two cuts where the cut formulas are of lower complexity:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\tau'}}{\Delta \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\overline{\pi'}}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\overline{\tau''}}{\Sigma, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma, A, \Gamma, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut}}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad (3)$$

2.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \cdot_R$  and  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . That is, this instance of cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\pi'}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\overline{\pi''}}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\overline{\tau'}}{\Delta, A, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\Delta, A \cdot B, \Theta \rightarrow C} \cdot_L}{\Delta, \Gamma, \Sigma, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad (4)$$

which we transform to the following proof, containing two cuts of lower complexity:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\pi''}}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\overline{\pi'}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\overline{\tau'}}{\Delta, A, B, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\Delta, \Gamma, B, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut}}{\Delta, \Gamma, \Sigma, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad (5)$$

3.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = !_R$  and  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . That is, this instance of cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\pi'}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\overline{\tau'}}{\Sigma, \Delta, A, \Theta, \Delta, A, \Theta, \dots, \Delta, A, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow B}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow ! A} !_R \quad \frac{\overline{\tau'}}{\Sigma, ! \Delta, ! A, ! \Theta, \chi \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma, ! \Delta, ! \Gamma, ! \Theta, \chi \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \quad !_L \quad (6)$$

which we transform into the following proof containing  $n$  cuts of lower complexity.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, A, \Theta, \Delta, A, \Theta, \dots, \Delta, A, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta, \Delta, A, \Theta, \dots, \Delta, A, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta, \dots, \Delta, A, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta, \dots, \Delta, \Gamma, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \\
\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, !\Delta, !\Gamma, !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow B} !_L
\end{array} \quad (7)$$

4.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \nabla_R$  and  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$  That is, this instance of cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, A, \Delta \rightarrow B} \quad \nabla_L}{\Sigma, \nabla\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \quad (8)$$

which we transform to the following proof of lower complexity, assuming that  $\Gamma = A_1, \dots, A_m$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, A, \Delta \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \\
\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m, \Delta \rightarrow B} \quad \nabla_L}{\Sigma, \nabla A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m, \Delta \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla A_1, \nabla A_2, \dots, A_m, \Delta \rightarrow B} \quad \nabla_L}{\Sigma, \nabla A_1, \nabla A_2, \dots, \nabla A_m, \Delta \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \\
\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow B}
\end{array} \quad (9)$$

5.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \nabla_R$  and  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$  That is, this instance of cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \quad \text{perm}}{\Sigma, \Delta, \nabla\Gamma, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \quad (10)$$

which we transform to the following proof which moves the cut up by one step, assuming



a.  $A \setminus B$  contained in  $\Sigma$ ; that is  $\Sigma = \Sigma[A \setminus B]$  we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[A \setminus B] \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma[\Gamma] \rightarrow C} \text{ cut } \frac{\tau''}{\Delta, D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \setminus_L \frac{}{\Delta, \Sigma[\Gamma], C \setminus D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \quad (14)$$

b.  $A \setminus B$  contained in  $\Delta$ ; that is  $\Delta = \Delta[A \setminus B]$  we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow C}}{\Delta[\Gamma], \Sigma, C \setminus D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \setminus_L \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau''}{\Delta[A \setminus B], D, \Theta \rightarrow E}}{\Delta[\Gamma], D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \text{ cut} \quad (15)$$

c.  $A \setminus B$  contained in  $\Theta$ ; that is  $\Theta = \Theta[A \setminus B]$  we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow C}}{\Delta, \Sigma, C \setminus D, \Theta[\Gamma] \rightarrow E} \setminus_L \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Delta, D, \Theta[A \setminus B] \rightarrow E}}{\Delta, D, \Theta[\Gamma] \rightarrow E} \text{ cut} \quad (16)$$

ii.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \setminus_R$  that is, the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{C, \Sigma[A \setminus B] \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma[A \setminus B] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \setminus_R}{\Sigma[\Gamma] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \text{ cut} \quad (17)$$

which is transformed into

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{C, \Sigma[A \setminus B] \rightarrow D}}{C, \Sigma[\Gamma] \rightarrow D} \text{ cut} \setminus_R \frac{}{\Sigma[\Gamma] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \quad (18)$$

iii.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \cdot_L$  whose cut is:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, C, D, \Delta \rightarrow E}}{\Sigma, C \cdot D, \Delta \rightarrow E} \cdot_L \text{ cut} \quad (19)$$

where  $A \setminus B$  is either contained in  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the two cases:

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_L \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[A \setminus B], C, D, \Delta \rightarrow E}}{\Sigma[\Gamma], C, D, \Delta \rightarrow E} \text{ cut} \cdot_L \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[\Gamma], C \cdot D, \Delta \rightarrow E}}{\Sigma[\Gamma], C, D, \Delta \rightarrow E} \cdot_L \quad (20)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_L \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, C, D, \Delta[A \setminus B] \rightarrow E}}{\Sigma, C, D, \Delta[\Gamma] \rightarrow E} \text{ cut} \cdot_L \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, C \cdot D, \Delta[\Gamma] \rightarrow E}}{\Sigma, C \cdot D, \Delta[\Gamma] \rightarrow E} \cdot_L \quad (21)$$

---

iv.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . In this case the cut is of the form

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow C} \frac{\tau''}{\Delta \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow C \cdot D} \text{ cut} \quad (22)$$

where  $A \setminus B$  is contained in either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the following two cases:

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[A \setminus B] \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma[\Gamma] \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \frac{\tau''}{\Delta \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma[\Gamma], \Delta \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \quad (23)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow C} \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau''}{\Delta[A \setminus B] \rightarrow D}}{\Delta[\Gamma] \rightarrow D} \text{ cut}}{\Sigma, \Delta[\Gamma] \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \quad (24)$$

---

v.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . In this case the cut is of the form

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \cdot_L \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad (25)$$

where  $A \setminus B$  is contained in  $\Sigma$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases:

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[A \setminus B], \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma[\Gamma], \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut}}{\Sigma[\Gamma], !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} !_L \quad (26)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta[A \setminus B] \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta[\Gamma] \rightarrow C} \text{ cut}}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta[\Gamma] \rightarrow C} !_L \quad (27)$$

---

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case no non-principal cut is possible.

---

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, C, \Delta \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \nabla_L \text{ cut}}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \quad (28)$$

where either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$  contain  $A \setminus B$ , giving us the following two cases:

i.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[A \setminus B], C, \Delta \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma[\Gamma], C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \text{ cut}}{\Sigma[\Gamma], \nabla C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \nabla_L \quad (29)$$

ii.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, C, \Delta[A \setminus B] \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma, C, \Delta[\Gamma] \rightarrow D} \text{ cut}}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta[\Gamma] \rightarrow D} \nabla_L \quad (30)$$


---

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{perm} \quad \text{cut} \quad (31)$$

where  $A \setminus B$  is contained in either  $\Sigma, \Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following three cases.

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[A \setminus B], \nabla C, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[A \setminus B], \nabla C, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\Sigma[\Gamma], \nabla C, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Sigma[\Gamma], \Delta, \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{perm} \quad (32)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta[A \setminus B], \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta[A \setminus B], \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta[\Gamma], \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Sigma, \Delta[\Gamma], \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{perm} \quad (33)$$

c.  $\Theta = \Theta[A \setminus B]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{A, \Gamma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta, \Theta[A \setminus B] \rightarrow D}}{\Gamma \rightarrow A \setminus B} \setminus_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta, \Theta[A \setminus B] \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\Sigma, \nabla C, \Delta, \Theta[\Gamma] \rightarrow D}{\Sigma, \Delta, \nabla C, \Theta[\Gamma] \rightarrow D} \text{perm} \quad (34)$$

2.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \cdot_R$  In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \frac{\tau}{\Delta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow C} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\tau}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C} \quad (35)$$

We now analyse the possible final rules of  $\tau$ .



i.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Delta \rightarrow C} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta, D, \chi \rightarrow E}}{\Theta, \Delta, C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L}{\Theta, \Delta, C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad (36)$$

where either  $\Theta, \Delta$  or  $\chi$  contain  $A \cdot B$ , giving us the following three cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A \cdot B]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Delta \rightarrow C} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta[A \cdot B], D, \chi \rightarrow E}}{\Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma], D, \chi \rightarrow E} \text{cut}}{\Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma], \Delta, C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L \quad (37)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \cdot B]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow C}}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta, D, \chi \rightarrow E}}{\Theta, \Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L \quad (38)$$

ii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . This cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{C, \Delta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow D}}{\Delta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \setminus_R}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \text{cut} \quad (39)$$

which we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{C, \Delta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow D}}{C, \Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \text{cut}}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \setminus_R \quad (40)$$

iii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta, C, D, \Theta \rightarrow E}}{\Delta, C \cdot D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \cdot_L \text{cut} \quad (41)$$

where either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$  contain  $A \cdot B$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \cdot B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \frac{\tau'}{\Delta[A \cdot B], C, D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \text{ cut} \\ \frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], C, D, \Theta \rightarrow E}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], C \cdot D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \cdot_L \quad (42)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[A \cdot B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \frac{\tau'}{\Delta, C, D, \Theta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow E} \text{ cut} \\ \frac{\Delta, C, D, \Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow E}{\Delta, C \cdot D, \Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow E} \cdot_L \quad (43)$$

---

iv.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Delta \rightarrow C} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta \rightarrow D}}{\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \text{ cut} \\ \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C \cdot D \quad (44)$$

where either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$  contain the formula  $A \cdot B$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \cdot B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \frac{\tau'}{\Delta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \frac{\tau''}{\Theta \rightarrow D} \cdot_R \\ \frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], \Theta \rightarrow C \cdot D} \quad (45)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[A \cdot B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Delta \rightarrow C}}{\Delta, \Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow D}}{\Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \text{ cut} \\ \Delta, \Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C \cdot D \quad (46)$$

---

v.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \frac{\tau'}{\Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \cdot_L \\ \frac{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C} \quad (47)$$

where  $A \cdot B$  is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \cdot B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta[A \cdot B], \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow C}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C} !L} \text{ cut} \quad (48)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[A \cdot B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi[A \cdot B] \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow C} !L} \text{ cut} \quad (49)$$

---

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta, C, \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\frac{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \nabla_L} \text{ cut} \quad (50)$$

where either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$  contain the formula  $A \cdot B$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \cdot B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta[A \cdot B], C, \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], C, \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \nabla_L} \text{ cut} \quad (51)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[A \cdot B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta, C, \Theta[A \cdot B] \rightarrow D}}{\frac{\Delta, C, \Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta[\Gamma, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \nabla_L} \text{ cut} \quad (52)$$

---

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$  In this case no cut is possible.

---

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Delta, \Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{perm}}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (53)$$

where either  $\Delta, \Theta$  or  $\chi$  contain the formula  $A \cdot B$ , giving us the following three cases.

i.  $\Delta = \Delta[A \cdot B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\pi''}{\Sigma \rightarrow B}}{\Gamma, \Sigma \rightarrow A \cdot B} \cdot_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Delta[A \cdot B], \Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], \Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, \Sigma], \nabla C, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (54)$$

3.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = !_R$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad \frac{\tau}{\Delta[!A] \rightarrow B}}{\Delta[!A] \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad (55)$$

We now analyse the possible final rules of  $\tau$ .

i.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta, C, \chi \rightarrow D}}{\Theta, \Sigma, B \setminus C, \chi \rightarrow D} \setminus_L}{\Theta, \Sigma, B \setminus C, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (56)$$

where  $\Theta, \Sigma$  or  $\chi$  contain the cut formula  $!A$ , giving us the following three cases.

i.  $\Theta = \Theta[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta[!A], C, \chi \rightarrow D}}{\Theta[!A], C, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{cut}}{\Theta[!A], C, \chi \rightarrow D} \setminus_L \quad (57)$$

ii.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[!A] \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma[!A] \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta, C, \chi \rightarrow D}}{\Theta, \Sigma[!A], B \setminus C, \chi \rightarrow D} \setminus_L \quad (58)$$

iii.  $\chi = \chi[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad !_R \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Theta, C, \chi[!A] \rightarrow D}}{\Theta, \Sigma, B \setminus C, \chi[! \Gamma] \rightarrow D} \quad cut}{\Theta, \Sigma, B \setminus C, \chi[! \Gamma] \rightarrow D} \setminus_L \quad (59)$$

ii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{B, \Sigma[!A] \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma[! \Gamma] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \setminus_R \quad cut \quad (60)$$

which is transformed into

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{B, \Sigma[!A] \rightarrow C}}{B, \Sigma[! \Gamma] \rightarrow C} \quad cut}{\Sigma[! \Gamma] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \setminus_L \quad (61)$$

iii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, C, \Delta \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma, B \cdot C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \cdot_L \quad cut \quad (62)$$

where  $!A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the following two cases

i.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[!A], B, C, \Delta \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma[! \Gamma], B, C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \quad cut}{\Sigma[! \Gamma], B \cdot C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \cdot_L \quad (63)$$

ii.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} \quad !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, C, \Delta[!A] \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma, B, C, \Delta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow D} \quad cut}{\Sigma, B \cdot C, \Delta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow D} \cdot_L \quad (64)$$

---

iv.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{!\Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R}{\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B \cdot C} \text{cut} \quad (65)$$

where the cut formula  $!A$  is contained in  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the following two cases

i.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{!\Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[!A] \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma[!\Gamma] \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma[!\Gamma], \Delta \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R \quad (66)$$

ii.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{!\Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta[!A] \rightarrow C}}{\Delta[!\Gamma] \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Sigma, \Delta[!\Gamma] \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R \quad (67)$$

---

v.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = !_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{!\Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} !_L}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad (68)$$

where the cut formula  $!A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{!\Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[!A], \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma[!\Gamma], \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{cut}}{\Sigma[!\Gamma], !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} !_L \quad (69)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta[!A], \Theta \rightarrow B}}{\frac{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow B}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow B} !_L} !_R \quad \text{cut} \quad (70)$$

---

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case, no non-principal cut is possible.

---

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \nabla_L} !_R \quad \text{cut} \quad (71)$$

where the cut formula  $!A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[!A], B, \Delta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma[! \Gamma], B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma[! \Gamma], \nabla B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \nabla_L} !_R \quad \text{cut} \quad (72)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, \Delta[!A] \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, B, \Delta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow C} \nabla_L} !_R \quad \text{cut} \quad (73)$$

---

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case, no cut is possible.

---

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \nabla B, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{perm}} !_R \quad \text{cut} \quad (74)$$

where the cut formula  $!A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma, \Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following three cases

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[!A], \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma[! \Gamma], \Delta, \nabla B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma[! \Gamma], \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (75)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta[!A], \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, \Delta[! \Gamma], \nabla B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta[! \Gamma], \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (76)$$

c.  $\Theta = \Theta[!A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{! \Gamma \rightarrow !A} !_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta[!A] \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, \Delta, \nabla B, \Theta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta[! \Gamma] \rightarrow C} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (77)$$

4.  $\text{fn}(\pi) = \nabla_R$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau}{\Sigma[\nabla A] \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad (78)$$

i.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta, C, \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\Delta, \Sigma, B \setminus C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \setminus_L}{\Delta, \Sigma, B \setminus C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (79)$$

where the cut formula  $\nabla A$  is contained in either  $\Delta, \Sigma$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the three following cases.

i.  $\Delta = \Delta[\nabla A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta[\nabla A], C, \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\Delta[\nabla \Gamma], C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \setminus_L}{\Delta[\nabla \Gamma], \Sigma, B \setminus C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (80)$$



ii.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[\nabla A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[\nabla A] \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow B} \text{ cut } \frac{\tau''}{\Delta, C, \Theta \rightarrow D}}{\Delta, \Sigma[\nabla \Gamma], B \setminus C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \setminus_L \quad (81)$$

iii.  $\Theta = \Theta[\nabla A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \frac{\tau''}{\Delta, C, \Theta[\nabla A] \rightarrow D}}{\Delta, C, \Theta[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow D} \text{ cut}}{\Delta, \Sigma, B \setminus C, \Theta[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow D} \setminus_L \quad (82)$$

ii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{B, \Sigma[\nabla A] \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma[\nabla A] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \setminus_R}{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \text{ cut} \quad (83)$$

which is transformed into

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla !A} \nabla_R \frac{\tau'}{B, \Sigma[!A] \rightarrow C}}{\frac{B, \Sigma[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow C}{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \setminus_L} \text{ cut} \quad (84)$$

iii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, C, \Delta \rightarrow D}}{\Sigma, B \cdot C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \cdot_L}{\Sigma, B \cdot C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \text{ cut} \quad (85)$$

where  $!A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the following two cases

i.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[\nabla A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla !A} \nabla_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[\nabla A], B, C, \Delta \rightarrow D}}{\frac{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma], B, C, \Delta \rightarrow D}{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma], B \cdot C, \Delta \rightarrow D} \cdot_L} \text{ cut} \quad (86)$$

ii.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, C, \Delta[\nabla A] \rightarrow D}}{\frac{\Sigma, B, C, \Delta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow D}{\Sigma, B \cdot C, \Delta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow D} \cdot L} \text{ cut} \quad (87)$$

iv.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R}{\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B \cdot C} \text{ cut} \quad (88)$$

where the cut formula  $!A$  is contained in  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the following two cases

i.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[\nabla A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[\nabla A] \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma[\nabla\Gamma], \Delta \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R \quad (89)$$

ii.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau''}{\Delta[\nabla A] \rightarrow C}}{\Delta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow C} \text{ cut}}{\Sigma, \Delta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R \quad (90)$$

v.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = !_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B}}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \cdot_L}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \quad (91)$$

where the cut formula  $\nabla A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[\nabla A], \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B}}{\frac{\Sigma[\nabla\Gamma], \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B}{\Sigma[\nabla\Gamma], !\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow B} \cdot_L} \text{ cut} \quad (92)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta[\nabla A], \Theta \rightarrow B}}{\frac{\Sigma, \Delta, \Delta, \dots, \Delta, \Theta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow B}{\Sigma, !\Delta, \Theta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow B} !_L} \text{ cut} \quad (93)$$

---

vi.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

---

vii.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \nabla_L}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad (94)$$

where the cut formula  $\nabla A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma$  or  $\Delta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[!A]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[\nabla A], B, \Delta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma[\nabla\Gamma], B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma[\nabla\Gamma], \nabla B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \nabla_L} \text{ cut} \quad (95)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[!A]$ . This we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, B, \Delta[\nabla A] \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, B, \Delta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta[\nabla\Gamma] \rightarrow C} \nabla_L} \text{ cut} \quad (96)$$

---

viii.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case no non-principal is possible.

---

ix.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla\Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \quad \frac{\frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \Delta, \nabla B, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ perm}}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad (97)$$

where the cut formula  $\nabla A$  is contained in either  $\Sigma, \Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following three cases

a.  $\Sigma = \Sigma[\nabla A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma[\nabla A], \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma], \Delta, \nabla B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma[\nabla \Gamma], \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (98)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[\nabla A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta[\nabla A], \Theta \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, \Delta[\nabla \Gamma], \nabla B, \Theta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta[\nabla \Gamma], \Theta \rightarrow C} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (99)$$

c.  $\Theta = \Theta[!A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\pi'}{\Gamma \rightarrow A}}{\nabla \Gamma \rightarrow \nabla A} \nabla_R \frac{\tau'}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta[\nabla A] \rightarrow C}}{\frac{\Sigma, \Delta, \nabla B, \Theta[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \nabla B, \Delta, \Theta[\nabla \Gamma] \rightarrow C} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (100)$$

## Case II

1.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \setminus_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta[C] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (101)$$

We continue by analysing the possible final rules of  $\tau$ .

i.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \frac{\Theta \rightarrow D \quad \chi, E, \Psi \rightarrow F}{\chi, \Theta, D \setminus E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \setminus_L}{\chi, \Theta, D \setminus E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \text{cut} \quad (102)$$

where the cut-formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\chi, \Theta$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following three cases:

a.  $\chi = \chi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \chi[C], E, \Psi \rightarrow F}{\Theta \rightarrow D \quad \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \text{cut} \quad \setminus_L \quad (103)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta[C] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad \chi, E, \Psi \rightarrow F}{\chi, \Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], D \setminus E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \setminus_L \quad (104)$$

c.  $\Psi = \Psi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \chi, E, \Psi[C] \rightarrow F}{\Theta \rightarrow D \quad \chi, E, \Psi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow F} \text{cut} \quad \setminus_L \quad (105)$$

ii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \frac{D, \Theta[C] \rightarrow E}{\Theta[C] \rightarrow D \setminus E} \setminus_R}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D \setminus E} \text{cut} \quad (106)$$

which is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad D, \Theta[C] \rightarrow E}{\frac{D, \Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow E}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D \setminus E} \setminus_R} \text{cut} \quad (107)$$

iii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \frac{\Theta, D \cdot E, \chi \rightarrow F}{\Theta, D \cdot E, \chi \rightarrow F} \cdot_L}{\Theta, D \cdot E, \chi \rightarrow F} \text{cut} \quad (108)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta[C], D, E, \chi \rightarrow F}{\frac{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], D, E, \chi \rightarrow F}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], D \cdot E, \chi \rightarrow F} \cdot^L} \text{cut} \quad (109)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta, D, E, \chi[C] \rightarrow F}{\frac{\Theta, D, E, \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow F}{\Theta, D \cdot E, \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow F} \cdot^L} \text{cut} \quad (110)$$

iv.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \frac{\Theta \rightarrow D \quad \chi \rightarrow F}{\Theta, \chi \rightarrow D \cdot F} \cdot^R}{\Theta, \chi \rightarrow D \cdot F} \text{cut} \quad (111)$$

where the cut formula,  $C$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta[C] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad \chi \rightarrow F}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], \chi \rightarrow D \cdot F} \cdot^R \quad (112)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\Theta \rightarrow D \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \chi[C] \rightarrow F}{\chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow F} \text{cut}}{\Theta, \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D \cdot F} \cdot^R \quad (113)$$

v.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \frac{\Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow D}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow D} !_L}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (114)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta[C], \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow D} !_L} \text{cut} \quad (115)$$

b.  $\Psi = \Psi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi[C] \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow D} !_L} \text{cut} \quad (116)$$

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case no cut is possible

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \frac{\Theta, D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \nabla D, \chi \rightarrow E} \nabla_L}{\Theta, \nabla D, \chi \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad (117)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta[C], D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], \nabla D, \chi \rightarrow E} \nabla_L} \text{cut} \quad (118)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta, D, \Psi[C] \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Theta, D, \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \nabla D, \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow E} \nabla_L} \text{cut} \quad (119)$$

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \frac{\Theta, \chi, \nabla D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \nabla D, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow E} \text{perm}}{\Theta, \nabla D, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad (120)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Theta, \chi$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following three cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta[C], \chi, \nabla D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], \chi, \nabla D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\Theta[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], \nabla D, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow E} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (121)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta, \chi[C], \nabla D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Theta, \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], \nabla D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \nabla D, \chi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta], \Psi \rightarrow E} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (122)$$

c.  $\Psi = \Psi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad \Sigma, B, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta \rightarrow C} \setminus_L \quad \Theta, \chi, \nabla D, \Psi[C] \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Theta, \chi, \nabla D, \Psi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \nabla D, \chi, \Psi[\Sigma, \Gamma, A \setminus B, \Delta] \rightarrow E} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (123)$$

2.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \cdot_L$  In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad \Theta[C] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (124)$$

We continue by analysing the possible final rules of  $\tau$ .

i.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad \frac{\chi \rightarrow D \quad \Theta, E, \Psi \rightarrow F}{\Theta, \chi, D \setminus E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \setminus_L}{\Theta, \chi, D \setminus E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \text{cut} \quad (125)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Theta, \chi$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following three cases.



a.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad \Theta[C], E, \Psi \rightarrow F}{\chi \rightarrow D \quad \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \text{cut}}{\Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \chi, D \setminus E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \setminus_L \quad (126)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad \chi[C] \rightarrow D}{\chi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad \Theta, E, \Psi \rightarrow F}{\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], D \setminus E, \Psi \rightarrow F} \setminus_L \quad (127)$$

c.  $\Psi = \Psi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad \Theta, E, \Psi[C] \rightarrow F}{\chi \rightarrow D \quad \Theta, E, \Psi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow F} \text{cut}}{\Theta, \chi, D \setminus E, \Psi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow F} \setminus_L \quad (128)$$

ii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad \frac{D, \Delta[C] \rightarrow E}{\Delta[C] \rightarrow D \setminus E} \setminus_R}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D \setminus E} \text{cut}}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D \setminus E} \quad (129)$$

which we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad D, \Delta[C] \rightarrow E}{D, \Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow E} \text{cut}}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D \setminus E} \setminus_R \quad (130)$$

iii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot_L \quad \frac{\Delta, D, E, \Theta \rightarrow F}{\Delta, D \cdot E, \Theta \rightarrow F} \cdot_L}{\Delta, D \cdot E, \Theta \rightarrow F} \text{cut}}{\Delta, D \cdot E, \Theta \rightarrow F} \quad (131)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \Delta[C], D, E, \Theta \rightarrow F}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], D, E, \Theta \rightarrow F}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], D \cdot E, \Theta \rightarrow F} \cdot^L} \text{cut} \quad (132)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \Delta, D, E, \Theta[C] \rightarrow F}{\frac{\Delta, D, E, \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow F}{\Delta, D \cdot E, \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow F} \cdot^L} \text{cut} \quad (133)$$

iv.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \frac{\Delta \rightarrow D \quad \Theta \rightarrow E}{\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow D \cdot E} \cdot^R}{\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow D \cdot E} \text{cut} \quad (134)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \frac{\Delta[C] \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad \Theta \rightarrow E}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \Theta \rightarrow D \cdot E} \cdot^R \quad (135)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \frac{\Theta[C] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow E} \text{cut}}{\frac{\Delta \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D \cdot E} \cdot^R} \quad (136)$$

v.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \frac{\Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} !_L}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (137)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma} \cdot^L \Delta[C], \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} !_L} \text{cut} \quad (138)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma} \cdot^L \Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi[C] \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} !_L} \text{cut} \quad (139)$$

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \frac{\Delta, D, \Theta \rightarrow E}{\Delta, \nabla D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \nabla_L}{\Delta, \nabla D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad (140)$$

where the cut formula  $C$  is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$  giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \Delta[C], D, \Theta \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], D, \Theta \rightarrow E}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \nabla D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \nabla_L} \text{cut} \quad (141)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \Delta, D, \Theta[C] \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta, D, \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow E}{\Delta, \nabla D, \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow E} \nabla_L} \text{cut} \quad (142)$$

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \frac{\Delta, \Theta, \nabla D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Delta, \nabla D, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow E} \text{perm}}{\Delta, \nabla D, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad (143)$$

where the cut formula is contained in  $\Delta, \Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following three cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \Delta[C], \nabla D, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \Theta, \nabla D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Delta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \nabla D, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow E} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (144)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \Delta, \nabla D, \Theta[C], \chi \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta, \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \nabla D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Delta, \nabla D, \Theta[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma], \chi \rightarrow E} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (145)$$

c.  $\Theta = \Theta[C]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, B, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \cdot^L \quad \Delta, \nabla D, \Theta, \chi[C] \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta, \Theta, \nabla D, \chi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow E}{\Delta, \nabla D, \Theta, \chi[\Gamma, A \cdot B, \Sigma] \rightarrow E} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (146)$$

3.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = !_L$ . In this case, the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} \cdot^L \quad \Theta[C] \rightarrow B}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad (147)$$

we continue by analysing the possible endings of  $\tau$ .

i.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} \cdot^L \quad \frac{\chi \rightarrow B \quad \Theta, C, \Psi \rightarrow D}{\Theta, \chi, B \setminus C, \Psi \rightarrow D} \setminus_L}{\Theta, \chi, B \setminus C, \Psi \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (148)$$

where the cut formula  $A$  is contained in  $\Theta, \chi$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following three cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} \cdot^L \quad \Theta[A], C, \Psi \rightarrow D}{\frac{\chi \rightarrow B \quad \Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], C, \Psi \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \chi, B \setminus C, \Psi \rightarrow D} \setminus_L} \text{cut} \quad (149)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \chi[A] \rightarrow B}{\chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B} \text{ cut} \quad \frac{\Theta, C, \Psi \rightarrow D}{\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], B \setminus C, \Psi \rightarrow D} \setminus_L \quad (150)$$

c.  $\Psi = \Psi[A]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \Theta, C, \Psi[A] \rightarrow D}{\Theta, C, \Psi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow D} \text{ cut} \quad \frac{\chi \rightarrow B}{\Theta, \chi, B \setminus C, \Psi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow D} \setminus_L \quad (151)$$

ii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{B, \Theta[A] \rightarrow C}{\Theta[A] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \setminus_R}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \text{ cut} \quad (152)$$

which we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad B, \Theta[A] \rightarrow C}{\frac{B, \Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B \setminus C} \setminus_R} \text{ cut} \quad (153)$$

iii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . In this case, the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta, B, C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Theta, B \cdot C, \chi \rightarrow D} \cdot_L}{\Theta, B \cdot C, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{ cut} \quad (154)$$

where the cut formula  $A$  is contained in  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This is transformed into

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \Theta[A], B, C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], B, C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], B \cdot C, \chi \rightarrow D} \cdot_L} \text{ cut} \quad (155)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[A]$ . This is transformed into

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \Theta, B, C, \chi[A] \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta, B, C, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow D}{\Theta, B \cdot C, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow D} \cdot_L} \text{ cut} \quad (156)$$

---

iv.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta \rightarrow B \quad \chi \rightarrow C}{\Theta, \chi \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R}{\Theta, \chi \rightarrow B \cdot C} \text{cut} \quad (157)$$

where the cut formula is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta[A] \rightarrow B}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B} \text{cut}}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \chi \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R \quad (158)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta[A] \rightarrow B}{\chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B \cdot C} \cdot_R \quad (159)$$

---

v.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow B}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow B} !_L}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow B} \text{cut} \quad (160)$$

where the cut formula  $A$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta[A], \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow B}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow B} !_L}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow B} !_L \quad (161)$$

b.  $\Psi = \Psi[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi[A] \rightarrow B}{\Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B} !_L}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow B} !_L \quad (162)$$

---

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

---

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta, B, \chi \rightarrow C}{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi \rightarrow C} \nabla_L}{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi \rightarrow C} \text{cut} \quad (163)$$

where the cut formula  $A$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta[A], B, \chi \rightarrow C}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], B, \chi \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \nabla B, \chi \rightarrow C} \nabla_L \quad (164)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta, B, \chi[A] \rightarrow C}{\Theta, B, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} \nabla_L \quad (165)$$


---

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

---

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . In this case the cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta, \chi, \nabla B, \Psi \rightarrow C}{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{perm}}{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{cut} \quad (166)$$

where the cut formula  $A$  is contained in either  $\Theta, \chi$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following three cases

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta[A], \nabla B, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow C}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \chi, \nabla B, \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Theta[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \nabla B, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{perm} \quad (167)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \frac{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi[A], \Psi \rightarrow C}{\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \nabla B, \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta], \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{perm} \quad (168)$$

c.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \dots, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A}{\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow A} !_L \quad \Theta, \nabla B, \chi, \Psi[A] \rightarrow C}{\frac{\Theta, \chi, \nabla B, \Psi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C}{\Theta, \nabla B, \chi, \Psi[\Gamma, !\Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} perm} cut \quad (169)$$

4.  $\text{fin}(\pi) = \nabla_L$ . In this case the cut is

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma} \nabla_L \quad \Theta[B] \rightarrow C}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C} cut \quad (170)$$

we continue by analysing the possible final rules of  $\tau$ .

i.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \frac{\Delta \rightarrow C \quad \Theta, D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \Delta, C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L}{\Theta, \Delta, C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} cut \quad (171)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Theta, \Delta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following three cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Theta[B], D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta \rightarrow C \quad \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \Delta, C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L} cut \quad (172)$$

b.  $\Delta = \Delta[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta[B] \rightarrow C}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C}{\Theta, \Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], C \setminus D, \chi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L} cut \quad (173)$$

c.  $\chi = \chi[A]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Theta, D, \chi[B] \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta \rightarrow C \quad \Theta, D, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \Delta, C \setminus D, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow E} \setminus_L} cut \quad (174)$$



---

ii.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \frac{C, \Delta[B] \rightarrow D}{\Delta[B] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \setminus_R}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \text{cut} \quad (175)$$

which we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad C, \Delta[B] \rightarrow D}{\frac{C, \Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \setminus_R} \text{cut} \quad (176)$$

---

iii.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \frac{\Delta, C, D, \Theta \rightarrow E}{\Delta, C \cdot D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \text{cdot}_L}{\Delta, C \cdot D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad (177)$$

where the cut formula is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta[B], C, D, \Theta \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], C, D, \Theta \rightarrow E}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], C \cdot D, \Theta \rightarrow E} \cdot_L} \text{cut} \quad (178)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta, C, D, \Theta[B] \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Delta, C, D, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow E}{\Delta, C \cdot D, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow E} \cdot_L} \text{cut} \quad (179)$$

---

iv.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \frac{\Delta \rightarrow C \quad \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R}{\Delta, \Theta \rightarrow C \cdot D} \text{cut} \quad (180)$$

where the cut formula is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta[B] \rightarrow C}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \Theta \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \quad (181)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\Delta \rightarrow C \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Theta[B] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \text{ cut}}{\Delta, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \quad (182)$$

v.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . this cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \frac{\Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow C}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C} !_L}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad (183)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta[B], \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow C}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow C}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], !\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C} !_L} \text{ cut} \quad (184)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi[B] \rightarrow C}{\frac{\Delta, \Theta, \Theta, \dots, \Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C}{\Delta, !\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow C} !_L} \text{ cut} \quad (185)$$

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \nabla_L \quad \frac{\Delta, C, \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \nabla_L}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \text{ cut} \quad (186)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Delta$  or  $\Theta$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \nabla_L \quad \Delta[B], \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, A, \Sigma], \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \nabla C, \Theta \rightarrow D} \nabla_L} \text{cut} \quad (187)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow C} \nabla_L \quad \Delta, \nabla C, \Theta[B] \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \nabla_L} \text{cut} \quad (188)$$

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \frac{\Delta, \Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{perm}}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (189)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Delta, \Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following three cases.

a.  $\Delta = \Delta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta[B], \Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Delta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \nabla C, \Theta, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (190)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta, \Theta[B], \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Delta, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma], \chi \rightarrow D} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (191)$$

c.  $\chi = \chi[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A, \Sigma \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma \rightarrow B} \nabla_L \quad \Delta, \Theta, \nabla C, \chi[B] \rightarrow D}{\frac{\Delta, \Theta, \nabla C, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow D}{\Delta, \nabla C, \Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma] \rightarrow D} \text{perm}} \text{cut} \quad (192)$$

### Case III

$\text{fin}(\pi) = \text{perm}$ . In this case the cut is of the form

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \Theta[B] \rightarrow C}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} \text{cut} \quad (193)$$

we continue by analysing the possible endings of  $\tau$ .

i.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \frac{\chi \rightarrow C \quad \Theta, D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \chi, C \setminus D, \Psi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L}{\Theta, \chi, C \setminus D, \Psi \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad (194)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Theta, \chi$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following three cases

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \Theta[B], D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], D, \Psi \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad \setminus_L}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \chi, C \setminus D, \Psi \rightarrow E} \quad (195)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \chi[B] \rightarrow C}{\chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} \text{cut} \quad \Theta, D, \Psi \rightarrow E}{\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], C \setminus D, \Psi \rightarrow E} \setminus_L \quad (196)$$

c.  $\Psi = \Psi[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \Theta, D, \Psi[B] \rightarrow E}{\Theta, D, \Psi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow E} \text{cut} \quad \setminus_L}{\Theta, \chi, C \setminus D, \Psi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow E} \quad (197)$$

ii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \setminus_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \frac{C, \Theta[B] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[B] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \setminus_R}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \text{cut} \quad (198)$$

which we transform into

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad C, \Theta[B] \rightarrow D}{\frac{C, \Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C \setminus D} \setminus_R} \text{ cut} \quad (199)$$

iii.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \cdot_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \frac{\Theta, C, D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Theta, C \cdot D, \chi \rightarrow E} \cdot_L}{\Theta, C \cdot D, \chi \rightarrow E} \text{ cut} \quad (200)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \Theta[B], C, D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], C, D, \chi \rightarrow E}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], C \cdot D, \chi \rightarrow E} \cdot_L} \text{ cut} \quad (201)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[B]$ . This we transform to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \Theta, C, D, \chi[B] \rightarrow E}{\frac{\Theta, C, D, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow E}{\Theta, C \cdot D, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow E} \cdot_L} \text{ cut} \quad (202)$$

iv.  $\text{fn}(\tau) = \cdot_R$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \frac{\Theta \rightarrow C \quad \chi \rightarrow D}{\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R}{\Theta, \chi \rightarrow C \cdot D} \text{ cut} \quad (203)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ .

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \frac{\Theta[B] \rightarrow C}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} \text{ cut} \quad \chi \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \chi \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \quad (204)$$

b.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ .

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \chi[B] \rightarrow D}{\Theta \rightarrow C \quad \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow D} \text{cut}}{\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C \cdot D} \cdot_R \quad (205)$$

v.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \frac{\Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow C}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow C} !_L}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{cut} \quad (206)$$

where the cut formula is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \Theta[B], \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow C}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], !\chi, \Psi \rightarrow C} !_L \quad (207)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi[B] \rightarrow C}{\Theta, \chi, \chi, \dots, \chi, \Psi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} \text{cut}}{\Theta, !\chi, \Psi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \rightarrow C} !_L \quad (208)$$

vi.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = !_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

vii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_L$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \frac{\Theta, C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D} \nabla_L}{\Theta, \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{cut} \quad (209)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Theta$  or  $\chi$ , giving us the following two cases.

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \rightarrow B} \text{perm} \quad \Theta[B], C, \chi \rightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], C, \chi \rightarrow D} \text{cut}}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \nabla C, \chi \rightarrow D} \nabla_L \quad (210)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \longrightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \longrightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \Theta, C, \chi[B] \longrightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta, C, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \longrightarrow D}{\Theta, \nabla C, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \longrightarrow D} \nabla_L} \text{ cut} \quad (211)$$

viii.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \nabla_R$ . In this case no cut is possible.

ix.  $\text{fin}(\tau) = \text{perm}$ . This cut looks like

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \longrightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \longrightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \frac{\Theta, \chi, \nabla C, \Psi \longrightarrow D}{\Theta, \nabla C, \chi, \Psi \longrightarrow D} \text{ perm}}{\Theta, \nabla C, \chi, \Psi \longrightarrow D} \text{ cut} \quad (212)$$

where the cut formula  $B$  is contained in either  $\Theta, \chi$  or  $\Psi$ , giving us the following three cases

a.  $\Theta = \Theta[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \longrightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \longrightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \Theta[B], \chi, \nabla C, \Psi \longrightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \chi, \nabla C, \Psi \longrightarrow D}{\Theta[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \nabla C, \chi, \Psi \longrightarrow D} \text{ perm}} \text{ cut} \quad (213)$$

b.  $\chi = \chi[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \longrightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \longrightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \Theta, \chi[B], \nabla C, \Psi \longrightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \nabla C, \Psi \longrightarrow D}{\Theta, \nabla C, \chi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta], \Psi \longrightarrow D} \text{ perm}} \text{ cut} \quad (214)$$

c.  $\Psi = \Psi[B]$ . This is transformed to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, \Sigma, \nabla A, \Delta \longrightarrow B}{\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta \longrightarrow B} \text{ perm} \quad \Theta, \chi, \nabla C, \Psi[B] \longrightarrow D}{\frac{\Theta, \chi, \nabla C, \Psi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \longrightarrow D}{\Theta, \nabla C, \chi, \Psi[\Gamma, \nabla A, \Sigma, \Delta] \longrightarrow D} \text{ perm}} \text{ cut} \quad (215)$$

□